

# Channel Simulation Platform Creation in Matlab and IBIS-AMI Simulation Verification

Jason Liu (柳雷), Celestica Shanghai R&D Center Harrison Xue (薛飞), Celestica Shanghai R&D Center Benny Yan (宴志), Cadence Design Systems, Inc.





- As serial signal rates are rising to the 10+ Gbps, the eye is closed without equalization. Equalization (FFE, CTLE and DFE) can improve the quality of eye at receiver side.
- For a system-level high speed designer, the key to choosing an equalizer is not just to evaluate the characteristic of a lossy channel, but also to determine capability of the equalizers.
- A platform in Matlab is set up and introduced to perform channel simulation with FFE, CTLE and DFE equalization.
- The verification is done based on IBIS-AMI simulation in EDA tool, both results from EDA Tool and from the channel simulation platform are compared.

## **Channel Simulation Platform Flow**





# Celestica. Solid partners. Flexible solutions.

imebase 0.0 ns Tripger (# 20.0 ns/div Stop 0.1 16.0 kS 80 GS/8 Edge Po

## **FFE Modeling**

3 taps emphasis is modeled as feed-forward ٠ equalization (FFE),



Where : T means the delay.



dard Faulter FIT Sela

#### **Decision Feedback Equalization (DFE) Modeling**



• 3 taps DFE modeling is shown below,



• DFE tap parameters can be optimized by the equalization algorithm;

#### **Continuous Time Linear Equalization (CTLE) modeling**



- CTLE is defined in high speed interface specifications to improve the quality of eye before DFE or at Rx side.
- It can be transformed to time domain for convolution.

$$H(s) = 2 \cdot pi \cdot 8e9 \cdot \frac{s + 2 \cdot pi \cdot 2e9 \cdot Adc}{(s + 2 \cdot pi \cdot 2e9) \cdot (s + 2 \cdot pi \cdot 8e9)}$$
 where : Adc is DC Gain





## **Channel Pulse Response (CPR)**



- Channel Pulse Response can not only be used to evaluate channel performance, but also to determine which equalization should be used in the channel.
- An equation is created to evaluate the performance of CPR:

$$M = \frac{P_{main} - \sum_{i \neq main} abs(P_i)}{\sum_i abs(P_i)}$$

where:  $P_{\text{main}}$  is the value of main cursor,  $\sum_{i \neq main} abs(P_i)$  is the sum of rest cursors.







(a) Eye without equalization



(b) Eye with Emphasis



(c) Eye with CTLE

(d) Eye with Emphasis and CTLE



## **Jitter modeling**

- Total Jitter (TJ) can be modeled as the random jitter (RJ) convolved with deterministic jitter (DJ).
- DJ can be modeled as Dual-Dirac model.





#### **IBIS-AMI** Correlation between Matlab and EDA Tool



Pattern₽	Bits₽	Signal Rate₽	Ignore bits₽	Jitter₽
PRBS31₽	2000#	5Gbps₽	250₽	00

Table 1: EDA tool simulation condition





16 × 10<sup>8</sup>

Û -5 -10 -15 -20 සු -25 0 -30 -35 -40 -45 -50 2 3 7 9 Û 1 4 5 6 8 10 Freq(Hz) x 10<sup>°</sup>

- The figure above is the magnitude of sdd21.
- The figure at above right is the impulse correlation between EDA tool and Matlab calculated from the step response which is obtained from EDA tool.
- Table at below right is the simulation settings.



	Case↩	TX De-emphasis setting:ℯ	RX DFE setting:	
mpulse	Case 1₽	0dB (2 taps)⊬	Off₽	
se which is	Case 2₽	0dB (2 taps)⊬	[0.2 0.05 -0.02 0.01]¢	
	Case 3₽	-3.5dB (2 taps)⊬	Offe	
_	Case 4₽	-3.5dB (2 taps)⊬	[0.1-0.04 0.01 0.002]	
ation	Case 5₽	-6dB (2 taps)⊬	Offe	
Asia IRIS Sumr	Case 6₽	-6dB (2 taps)₽	[0.05 -0.01 0.003 0.001]@	
	,			

China, November 9, 2012

# **Simulation Correlation Results**



Case No.		#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
EDA tool	Vertical Eye:(mV)	0	181.8	128.3	249.9	275.1	295.5
	Horizontal Eye:(UI)	0	0.451	0.395	0.581	0.688	0.729
Matlab	Vertical Eye:(mV)	0	188	114	267	262	280
	Horizontal Eye:(UI)	0	0.478	0.393	0.556	0.707	0.721
Difference Ratio	Vertical Eye:(%)	0	3.41	12.54	6.40	5.00	5.54
	Horizontal Eye:(%)	0	5.99	0.51	1.07	2.76	1.11



Case5.sim

Casel: Tx: -6dB, Rx: DFE Off

0 0.1 0.2 0.3

0.4 0.5

UI [UNITLESS]

case6

### **EDA Tool Simulation results**

275.114 mV 128.314 mV Volt [V] Volt [V] 687.645 mUI 394.725 mUI 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0 0.4 UI [UNITLESS] UI [UNITLESS] case1 case3 case5 Case6.sim -3dB DFE.sim Case6: Tx: -6dB. Rx: DFE On Sub-Title 0.8 0.6 0.5 0.4 295.511 mV 0.3 0.2 / 249.878 mV Volt [V] Volt [V] 0.1 0 181.818 mV -0.1 -0.2 728.829 mUI -0.3 -0.4 -0.5 -0.6 -0.7 -0.8 -1

case2

UI [UNITLESS]

Case1.sim

Casel: Tx: 0dB, Rx: DFE Off

UI [UNITLESS]

off DFE.sim

Sub-Title

Volt [V]

Volt [V]

-1

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

-0.1 -0.1 -0.3 -0.4

-0.5 -1.1

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4

The eye is closed when both de-emphasis and DFE are off, and • the eye is much larger when the two equalization methods are appliéd.

0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

UI [UNITLESS]

case4

0.4

0.1 0.2 0.3

0

Case3.sim

Casel: Tx: -3.5dB, Rx: DFE Off

Asia IBIS Summit, Shanghai China, November 9, 2012

13

0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

### **Matlab Simulation results**





• The eye is closed when both de-emphasis and DFE are off, and the eye is much larger when the two equalization methods are applied. They are identical with the EDA tool simulation results.

#### Conclusion



- This channel simulation platform based on Matlab is created, which can do system level high speed simulation with FFE, CTLE, DFE and jitter analysis.
- The simulation results show that channel simulation platform based on Matlab is aligned well with EDA tool, the difference is caused by the different seeds of PRBS and different rise/fall time definitions.
- The platform can not only be used to evaluate the performance of a Channel, but also to determine the capability of an equalizer when choosing the equalizer in system-level high speed design.



# Thanks!